











### Universität für Bodenkultur Wien Department für Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften

# Strategy development for regional forest fuel supply chains in Southeast Europe

#### Ulrich J. Wolfsmayr, Peter Rauch

Institute of Production and Logistics, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna Feistmantelstrasse 4, 1180 Wien, www.boku.ac.at, ulrich.wolfsmayr@boku.ac.at

## **Project framework**

The FOROPA project focuses on Southeast Europe and includes organisations from 10 countries – Austria, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Greece, Italy, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Switzerland and Ukraine. A major objective is to develop strategies for increasing the competitiveness of bioenergy from forest fuel in Europe.

Previously, different regional forest fuel supply chains in each country were selected. For in-depth analysis a guided interview method was used. The results were structured and used for identifying possible problems, optimization potentials and good practice examples. For strategy development the SWOT method was applied.

Table 2: Detail of the matrix for compiling the relevance of different strategies in the single countries.

Recommendation / Country	Romania	Serbia	Average of 10 countries		
SO-Strategy SO-Strategy					
Promotion of local producers (e.g. match- making events, demo events)	high (h)	moderate (m)	2,5		
Promoting available biomass fuel standards	high (h)	moderate (m)	2,4		
ST-Strategy					
Increase harvested wood quantities, e.g. utilise more forest area, abbreviate rotation period, utilise new assortments	high (h)	high (h)	3,0		

Table 1: Integrated Joint SWOT and TOWS

## **Joint-SWOT Analysis**

For the first time a strategic analysis on forest fuel supply chains was carried out on a European scale within the FROPA project. The SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats) approach was used to evaluate regional supply chains. Based on that a supraregional Joint-SWOT analysis was compiled and strategies maximising strengths and opportunities resp. minimising threats and weaknesses were formulated. Table 1 shows the Joint-SWOT for forest fuel supply chains in Southeast Europe. National experts indicated the relevance of each developed strategy for the individual countries; thus, the ranking of the strategies reflects the average importance in the countries. Table 2 shows a detail of a matrix for ranking the strategies within individual countries. However, only such strategies where formulated, which could actively be implemented by the players of the supply chain.

We conclude that the formulation of supraregional strategies for the forest fuel sector will lead to increased cooperation and integration and thus to increasing efficiency and decreasing supply chain costs.

#### References

www.foropa.eu

Wolfsmayr U and Rauch P (2013) Transportketten forstlicher Biomasse – Stand der Technik und Innovationen. Schweiz Z Forstwes 164(12), 365-373

matrix for forest fuel supply chains in		Internal	
		Strength	Weaknesses
Southeast Europe		S1. Sufficient wood quantity     Utilisation of otherwise not marketable timber qualities     S3. Short distribution distances     European Biofuel Standards     S5. Short raw material transport distances	W1. Low profitability due to high procurement resp. investment costs W2. Lack of all year round available forest roads and low forest road accessibility W3. Weather dependency of harvesting & logging operations W4. Information deficits and lack of coordination resp. transparency in the supply chain W5. Excessive bureaucracy W6. High variation of quality and moisture in wood fuels W7. Lack of qualified work force
	Opportunities	SO-Strategies	WO-Strategies
3	O1. Fast growing market (since growing ecological attitude of the society. Biomass = CO2-neutral, General the society has a good attitude regarding DHP's) O2. Increasing wood land area resp. growing stock and high regional biomass resource potential O3. Innovation due to R&D in advanced processes for biomass procurement (including ICT), pre-processing and conversion (Exploring new biomass raw materials for energetic use) O4. Governmental/EU support: CO2-taxes, subsidies, feed in tariffs, research projects O5. Instability of fossil fuel supply and volatile fossil fuel prices	Promotion of local producers, e.g. match-making events, demo events (S1/S2/S3/S5-O1/O5)     Promoting available biomass fuel standards (S4-O1)	Disseminate specific inventory management strategies to overcome common biomass market disturbances like fluctuation in supply)production and demand, periodical undersupply due to fast increasing market weather conditions, or short-term orders (W2/W3–O1/O2)      Dissemination of actual research results in advanced processes for pre-processing (e.g. natural drying, technical drying), harvest and transportation of wood biomass (W1–O3)      Re-engineer processes in the biomass supply chain (W1/W4–O3)      Design organizational structures or new business models to improve the economic performance of biomass supply, especially under preconditions of small scale forests (W1/W4–O1/O2)      System of permanent education for high qualified work force, e.g. periodical trainings (W7–O3)      Establishment and promotion of smart quality system, also for smaller companies (W6–O1)      Public presentation and education on ICT opportunities– applicable also for smaller companies (W4–O3)      Establishment of private forest owners networks to improve supply chain integration (W1/W1/W4–O3)      Reduce interaction costs by minimizing bureaucracy and intraorganisational transactions (W5–O3)
	Threats	ST-Strategies	WT-Strategies
	T1. Raw material competition with forest based /wood processing industry (leading to increasing feedstock price) T2. Unpredectable periodical undersupply T3. Natural conservation (e.g. Natura 2000) restricting harvesting T4. Competition with fossil fuels T5. Restrictive capital market	Increase harvested wood quantities, e.g. utilise more forest area, shorten rotation period, utilise new assortments (S1/S2-T1)	Sharing investment cost between companies / introducing forest owner cooperatives (W1/T5)     Establish a monitoring system for wood fuel prices (W1/W4-T1/T4)     Setablish public available cost calculation models for SCORPs (W1/W4-T1/T4)     Develop innovative transshipment points, biomass terminals or biomass trade centres (W1/W2/W3-T2)